Cultural Identity and Leadership Strain: Navigating Professional Challenges among Women in Diverse Sectors.

[1]**Olanrewaju Olaniyan**
[2]**Zainab Aderinwale**

[1]*Siatech Africa, Nigeria*
[2]*Tufts University, USA*

Abstract

Due to the intersection of culture, gender, and societal expectations, women leaders navigating professional environments often face specific strains. While women leaders are becoming more prominent in entrepreneurial, political, corporate, healthcare, and academic fields, they frequently face particular challenges influenced by systemic obstacles, gender stereotypes, and cultural norms. This study focuses on the role of cultural identity in developing leadership strain as well as its consequences on the work experiences of women professionals. Utilizing theories of intersectionality, social identity, and leadership, it emphasizes the psychological and structural obstacles that women encounter while reconciling institutional expectations and personal cultural norms. The discussion examines the unique dynamics within various sectors, from corporate boardrooms to grassroots entrepreneurship, where cultural identity serves to both limit and strengthen female leaders. In order to promote a more inclusive leadership environment, this article argues that recognizing cultural identity as a valuable leadership quality rather than a liability is essential.

Keywords: *Cultural Identity, Leadership Strain, Intersectionality, Women Leadership, Gendered Expectations, Organizational Inclusion*

Introduction

The growth of women into leadership roles across various sectors has marked a watershed moment in the global professional arena (Górska & Burlakova, 2025). Women are increasingly occupying spaces historically dominated by men in corporate organizations, academia, healthcare, entrepreneurship, and governance (Martin & Barnard, 2013). However, their paths to leadership are

far from linear or uniform (Hoobler et al., 2016). For numerous individuals, cultural identity, defined by tradition, religion, community expectations, ethnicity, and language, plays a major role in influencing their experiences and enacting leadership (Hoobler et al., 2016). Cultural identity can be a source of pride, resilience, and stability; however, it can also produce pressure when the values in one's cultural background intersect uneasily with the demands of professional settings (Baumert et al., 2023). Leadership strain, in this context, pertains to the conflict women experience when navigating between internalized cultural or communal obligations and external institutional expectations (Elmuti et al., 2009). Women leaders from marginalized or minority cultural backgrounds face increased barriers in diverse societies, where professional environments are frequently built around dominant norms (Rana et al., 2024). These challenges include conflicting cultural expectations regarding professional ambition and family roles, stereotyping, unequal recognition of competence, and tokenism. The pressure is heightened for women who must balance dual responsibilities: acting as cultural representatives in leadership positions while meeting their profession's performance benchmarks (Hing et al., 2023).

Numerous multicultural societies exemplify this duality. Women leaders frequently face patriarchal constraints that categorize them as caregivers and supporters instead of decision-makers. Simultaneously, professional organizations require flexibility, competitiveness, and assertiveness, which may contrast with cultural values that prioritize communal responsibility, modesty, and deference. Across various sectors, this intersection creates a distinctive type of leadership strain that is not merely individual but structural, ingrained in systems of power and representation. Across various sectors, this intersection creates a distinctive type of leadership strain that is not merely individual but structural, ingrained in systems of power and representation. Empirical evidence further demonstrates that organizational governance structures directly shape performance outcomes and institutional evaluation, reinforcing the systemic nature of professional expectations within organizational environments (Ogundipe, 2019). This structural dimension of leadership challenges is increasingly recognized in organizational research, where governance systems, risk allocation mechanisms, and performance monitoring frameworks shape how leadership is enacted and evaluated within complex institutional environments (Kolade, 2019)

At the international level, academic research is progressively acknowledging the role of intersectionality, the convergence of gender, race, class, and cultural identity, in shaping leadership experiences of women. Nevertheless, cultural identity continues to be insufficiently explored as a

distinct factor that contributes to leadership strain, particularly in non-Western contexts where community ties and cultural affiliation strongly influence professional life.

Methodological Orientation

This study employs a narrative literature review methodology to synthesize existing scholarship on cultural identity and leadership strain among women across diverse professional sectors. The literature selection process involved systematic searches across multiple academic databases, focusing on peer-reviewed articles, dissertations, and scholarly books published primarily within the last fifteen years, with seminal works included regardless of publication date. Selection criteria prioritized empirical studies and theoretical contributions addressing the intersection of cultural identity, gender, and leadership experiences, with particular attention to intersectionality frameworks and cross-cultural perspectives. The synthesis approach integrates findings from corporate, academic, healthcare, political, and entrepreneurial contexts to identify common patterns and sector-specific dynamics. This narrative review methodology allows for comprehensive examination of how cultural identity shapes leadership strain across multiple dimensions, drawing connections between theoretical frameworks, including intersectionality theory, social identity theory, and role congruity theory, and empirical evidence from diverse cultural and organizational settings. The interpretive synthesis presented here aims to provide a holistic understanding of the phenomenon while acknowledging the complexity and contextual variability inherent in women's leadership experiences.

Scholarly Contribution and Significance

This study makes several significant contributions to existing knowledge on women's leadership and organizational behavior. First, it addresses a critical gap in leadership literature by foregrounding cultural identity as a central, rather than peripheral, analytical category in understanding leadership strain. While existing research has extensively documented gender-based barriers to leadership and increasingly incorporates intersectional perspectives, cultural identity, particularly as it relates to tradition, community expectations, and ethnic heritage, has remained undertheorized as an independent source of professional strain. This study repositions cultural identity within leadership strain discourse by demonstrating how it operates simultaneously as a source of resilience and constraint, challenging binary conceptualizations that frame cultural background solely as either an asset or liability. Second, this work contributes to intersectionality scholarship by examining how

cultural identity intersects with gender to produce unique configurations of leadership challenges that cannot be understood through single-axis analyses. By synthesizing evidence across multiple professional sectors and cultural contexts, this study reveals patterns of "cultural-professional dissonance" that transcend specific organizational settings, while also illuminating sector-specific manifestations of this phenomenon. This cross-sectoral analysis advances understanding of how institutional norms in different professional domains interact with cultural expectations to shape women's leadership experiences.

Third, this study offers new conceptual understanding by articulating the mechanisms through which cultural identity influences leadership strain, including identity negotiation, code-switching, authenticity conflicts, and the "double bind" of cultural representation. These mechanisms help explain why women from minority or non-dominant cultural backgrounds experience qualitatively different leadership challenges compared to their counterparts from majority cultures, even when controlling for gender. By elucidating these processes, this work provides a foundation for developing more culturally responsive leadership development interventions and organizational policies. Finally, this study contributes practical knowledge by identifying strategies that women leaders employ to navigate cultural-professional tensions, offering insights for both aspiring leaders and organizations committed to fostering inclusive leadership environments. The findings challenge organizations to move beyond diversity rhetoric toward substantive cultural transformation that recognizes and values diverse leadership paradigms.

Cultural Identity and Professional Life

Cultural identity is critical in determining people's behavior, self-identity, and worldview within professional settings (Taylor & Usborne, 2010). It encompasses values, customs, language, and shared histories that influence how people view authority, teamwork, and leadership (Tipurić, 2022). In professional environments, cultural identity is not merely a personal attribute; it is a powerful component that determines how leaders are perceived and how their roles are performed (Jerab & Mabrouk, 2023). This dynamic is reinforced by organizational governance structures that define how performance, accountability, and legitimacy are evaluated within institutional systems, often privileging dominant norms and standardizing expectations across diverse actors (Kolade, 2019). Among women, cultural identity can be both a means of empowerment and a barrier. On one hand, it provides a reservoir of resilience, authenticity, and community support that enhances professional identity (Rana

et al., 2024). Conversely, cultural identity may relegate women to the margins of organizational life, particularly when their styles of speech, dress, or leadership differ from dominant standards in their workplace (Showunmi, 2019). This tension between authenticity and conformity frequently characterizes the experiences of women leaders across various fields.

The workplace often favors particular cultural values that conform to mainstream standards, which may reflect Western ideals of assertiveness, individualism, or linear career advancement (Jerab & Mabrouk, 2023). Women whose cultural backgrounds are anchored in communal decision-making, collectivist traditions, and humility may have their leadership philosophies underrated or misunderstood. For instance, a woman leader who emphasizes consensus and relational leadership, core values in many African and Asian cultural traditions, may be perceived in a Western corporate environment as indecisive or lacking authority. Conversely, women from minority cultural groups who embrace dominant forms of assertive leadership can be criticized within their own communities for deviating from traditional norms of modesty or submissiveness. This dynamic results in continuous negotiation whereby women in leadership positions must balance institutional legitimacy and cultural authenticity, often at great emotional and psychological cost.

Research on South Asian Muslim women leaders in the United Kingdom reveals how intersecting identities of gender, ethnicity, and religion create multilayered challenges in pursuing employment and leadership positions (Tariq & Syed, 2017). These women navigate not only gender bias but also religious stereotyping and cultural expectations that may conflict with workplace norms. Similarly, studies of South African Indian female principals demonstrate how family values, class, and cultural practices promote patriarchal perceptions that question women's legitimacy as leaders (Schmidt et al., 2021). In both cases, women leaders must develop sophisticated strategies to maintain cultural authenticity while establishing professional credibility.

Cultural identity determines the manner in which credibility and authority are accorded to women leaders. Women from minority cultural backgrounds may face "cultural taxation", the expectation that they will serve as cultural ambassadors, diversity representatives, and mentors to others from similar backgrounds, in addition to their formal job responsibilities (Robinson et al., 2023). This additional labor, often unrecognized and unrewarded, contributes significantly to leadership strain. Furthermore, women leaders may experience "cultural isolation" when they are among the few or only representatives of their cultural group in leadership positions, lacking peers who share similar cultural

reference points (Adams-Harmon, 2024). This isolation can intensify feelings of marginalization and increase the psychological burden of leadership. The concept of "code-switching", adjusting language, behavior, and presentation to align with dominant workplace culture, represents another dimension of cultural identity's impact on professional life. While code-switching can be a strategic adaptation that facilitates professional advancement, it also exacts a toll. Women who regularly code-switch report experiencing identity fragmentation, emotional exhaustion, and a sense of inauthenticity (Gomes, 2024). The constant vigilance required to monitor and adjust one's cultural expression in professional settings diverts cognitive and emotional resources from core leadership tasks, potentially undermining performance and well-being.

Leadership Strain and Gendered Expectations

Leadership strain among women frequently stems from conflict between deeply rooted gendered expectations and professional responsibilities that influence how society perceives women in positions of authority (Galsanjigmed & Sekiguchi, 2023). Leadership has traditionally been associated with masculine characteristics, including control, rationality, and assertiveness (Kuteesa et al., 2024). Women, by contrast, are often expected to exhibit characteristics deemed "appropriate" to their gender, modesty, cooperation, and nurturance (Cislaghi & Heise, 2019). This presents a paradox: to align with organizational standards, women leaders must demonstrate authority and competence, but they risk condemnation if they fail to meet traditional femininity expectations or appear "too authoritative" (Kuteesa et al., 2024). This paradox is embedded within formal organizational structures where governance mechanisms and oversight systems influence how leadership effectiveness is assessed and valued, often reinforcing dominant expectations and evaluative standards (Ogundipe, 2019). Such tensions are further intensified by formal organizational systems that operationalize performance through metrics, compliance expectations, and evaluative frameworks, thereby embedding gendered interpretations of leadership within institutional decision-making processes (Kolade, 2019). These double binds add constant strain to women's leadership pathways, forcing them to navigate carefully a narrow space between rejection and acceptance (Debebe, 2017).

One common manifestation of this strain is the "double burden" or "double shift." Women leaders are obliged to perform their professional responsibilities while also being expected to fulfill caregiving and domestic roles (Kinnear & Naidoo, 2024). In numerous settings, these expectations are heightened by societal narratives that emphasize a woman's worth through her family and community

contributions. A woman in a political position or high-ranking organizational role may encounter criticism for being "too ambitious" or "neglectful" of her family, while a male counterpart occupying the same position would rarely face such scrutiny. The expectation to "do it all" places an extraordinary physical and psychological toll on women leaders, exacerbating leadership strain.

Research on Black female school leaders illustrates how work-life balance challenges are compounded by racial and gender expectations (Johnson, 2018). These leaders describe managing professional demands while navigating stereotypes about Black women's capabilities and commitment, all while maintaining family responsibilities that are culturally valued within their communities. Similarly, studies of South Korean women leaders reveal how cultural expectations regarding women's primary responsibility for family care create intense struggles for work-family balance, even as these women occupy senior leadership positions (Cho et al., 2015). Women leaders displaying assertive characteristics often face a double bind: they are rated as capable yet may be seen as harsh or lacking femininity, while those who execute a more empathetic or collaborative approach may be seen as pleasant but not sufficiently effective (Hoobler et al., 2016). This paradox, referred to in leadership literature as role congruity theory, keeps women in a continuous state of negotiation, pushing them to modify their behaviors based on context and audience (Eagly & Karau, 2002). In various cultural contexts, these judgments are even more pronounced, as women must navigate gender biases as well as cultural norms of hierarchy, respectability, and decorum.

Furthermore, gendered expectations often shape the opportunities available to women in leadership roles. Women are frequently directed toward roles linked to "soft leadership," such as support services, community engagement, or human resources, which correspond with stereotypes of women as nurturers (Kuteesa et al., 2024). Compared to "hard leadership" positions in operations, strategy, and finance, these roles, while crucial, are frequently undervalued and less closely tied to decision-making authority. Women entering these domains frequently experience increased scrutiny and visibility, with their faults judged more harshly than their male counterparts (Kinnear & Naidoo, 2024). This unequal distribution of opportunities impedes women's career growth and exacerbates the systemic pressure that contributes to leadership strain. Leadership strain is also exacerbated by the need for women to adapt their behavior and communication styles to conform to male-dominated professional settings. Women who demonstrate traditionally masculine leadership traits may be labeled as "aggressive" or "unfeminine," while leaders who operate within feminine stereotypical guidelines may be branded as

too soft or lacking authority. This ongoing negotiation of identity necessitates heightened emotional labor, causing women to change their behavior based on context. Over time, the struggle to balance authenticity and acceptability can lead to burnout, stress, and emotional exhaustion.

Expectations surrounding work-life balance further intensify leadership strain. Women in many societies continue to bear the disproportionate burden of caregiving and household duties, which compounds the stress of occupying challenging leadership positions. During the COVID-19 pandemic, female leaders in healthcare and corporate management faced the dual challenge of making critical organizational decisions under pressure while also managing family and household obligations that had been exacerbated by lockdowns (Kuteesa et al., 2024). This strain manifests differently across sectors but with similar undercurrents. In business settings, women leaders are critically questioned regarding their authority and right to make decisions, sometimes having to "overperform" to establish legitimacy. Women in academia and research struggle with the invisible work of mentoring, serving on committees, and participating in diversity panels, tasks disproportionately assigned to women yet undervalued in promotion decisions. In politics, female leaders are subjected to increased public scrutiny of their communication styles, appearance, and personal lives, a level of scrutiny that their male counterparts rarely encounter.

Despite these obstacles, numerous women leaders have devised strategies to overcome gendered expectations while preserving credibility. Some intentionally reframe feminine attributes, such as collaboration, active listening, and empathy, using them to cultivate inclusive work environments and enhance team relationships (Hing et al., 2023). Others embrace hybrid leadership approaches that combine assertiveness and relational qualities, allowing them to bridge gender divides. Research on African American female leaders demonstrates how resilience, spirituality, and mentorship networks enable women to navigate hostile environments and achieve success despite persistent barriers (Weatherspoon-Robinson, 2013).

Intersectionality: Culture, Gender, and Leadership Strain

Intersectionality theory provides a significant framework for interpreting the complex issues facing female leaders in professional settings. Coined by Kimberlé Crenshaw, intersectionality emphasizes how overlapping social identities, including gender, race, class, ethnicity, and culture, create distinctive patterns of disadvantage and discrimination. For women leaders, especially in multicultural or non-

Western settings, the experience of leadership strain cannot be fully comprehended through gender alone. Rather, it arises at the intersection of various identities, which either enhance or limit their ability to wield authority, attain legitimacy, and succeed in professional roles (Tlaiss & Kauser, 2018). In numerous societies, cultural identity influences gender expectations, shaping how women leaders are regarded and treated. Women from minority cultural backgrounds may experience marginalization in liberal workplaces due to their failure to conform to prevailing workplace culture. They may face subtle biases or microaggressions that undermine their credibility (Kuteesa et al., 2024). Research on minority ethnic women managers in the United Kingdom reveals how the intersection of gender, ethnicity, and religion clashes with organizational expectations of being male, being white, and participating in work-related socializing, adversely affecting career progression (Arifeen et al., 2019). Organizations implicitly demand "fitting in," and the inability of minority ethnic women managers to merge with dominant culture hampers their careers.

The issue of leadership strain under intersectionality also operates at the structural level. Women from marginalized cultural backgrounds often experience reduced access to elite networks, mentors, and sponsors compared to their culturally aligned male peers (Baumert et al., 2023). This absence of institutional support makes them dependent on informal networks or personal resilience, which can be simultaneously empowering and frustrating. Furthermore, intersectional disadvantage frequently manifests in career advancement, with women being promoted at slower rates, experiencing increased turnover intention, or being assigned to positions where their leadership abilities are undervalued (Kuteesa et al., 2024).

Studies examining African American women athletic directors reveal how the intersection of race and gender identities, combined with societal and occupational stereotypes, results in working environments where they face constant challenges to their identity and authority (McDowell et al., 2017). These women encounter misperceptions concerning their leadership roles and perceptions that their hiring results more from demographics than qualifications. Similarly, research on Haitian women leaders in humanitarian organizations demonstrates how they navigate intersectionality within contexts of postcolonial power dynamics and white supremacist perceptions of humanitarian leadership (Delva, 2023). These women must contend with stereotypical narratives that position Haitian women as victims or aid beneficiaries rather than as capable leaders. At the psychological level, intersectionality increases leadership stress through multiple, occasionally inconsistent expectations

(Tlaiss & Kauser, 2018). Women leaders are often forced to code-switch, adjusting their speech, appearance, and behavior to fit dominant culture while remaining anchored to their own cultural identity. This continuous process of identity negotiation can lead to emotional burnout, feelings of self-doubt, and loss of confidence, making their path to leadership even more complex. Research on women of color leaders across various industries documents a trajectory "from assimilation to alienation," wherein initial experiences of tokenism and overlooked competencies evolve into alienation, professional legitimacy challenges, and insufficient recognition as women challenge the status quo (Gomes, 2024). Black women leaders face the most adverse experiences, followed by South Asian, Latina, and East Asian leaders, with isolation emerging as a persistent theme across racial groups and career tenures.

However, women who successfully integrate their cultural identity into their leadership styles often possess distinct advantages, including empathy, cultural intelligence, and flexibility in problem-solving, which can help them excel in increasingly diverse work environments (Hing et al., 2023). Research on British women leaders from diverse ethnic backgrounds demonstrates how some women leverage their intersectional identities to develop innovative leadership approaches that draw on multiple cultural frameworks (Showunmi et al., 2016). These women employ "power with" rather than "power over" models of leadership, emphasizing relational approaches that resonate with their cultural values while also proving effective in contemporary organizational contexts. The intersectional experiences of women leaders also vary significantly across cultural contexts. Comparative research on women leaders in Pakistan and the United States reveals both commonalities and differences in how cultural context shapes leadership experiences (Nosheen et al., 2024). While women in both countries face gender bias and limited training opportunities, the specific manifestations of cultural expectations differ substantially. Pakistani women leaders navigate more explicit patriarchal family structures and religious expectations, while American women of color contend with racialized stereotypes and systemic barriers rooted in historical discrimination. These cross-cultural variations underscore the importance of context-sensitive approaches to understanding and addressing leadership strain.

Research examining the historical perspective of intersectional biases reveals that minority female leaders, particularly Black women, have faced persistent discrimination over centuries (Pogrebna et al., 2024). Despite frequently embracing higher risks to counter intersectional invisibility compared to their white counterparts, their aspirations remain unrealized generation after generation, forcing

140

minority female leaders to concentrate on the same issues for decades or even centuries. This historical analysis demonstrates how the compound influence of racial and gender biases hinders the advancement of minority female leadership by perpetuating stereotypical behavioral schemas, leading to persistent discriminatory outcomes.

Conclusion

This narrative literature review has examined the complex interplay between cultural identity and leadership strain among women across diverse professional sectors. The synthesis of existing scholarship reveals that women's leadership experiences cannot be adequately understood through single-axis analyses focused solely on gender. Rather, cultural identity, encompassing tradition, ethnicity, religion, language, and community expectations, operates as a critical dimension that fundamentally shapes how women experience, enact, and are perceived in leadership roles. The intersection of cultural identity with gender creates unique configurations of professional challenges that manifest as leadership strain, characterized by identity negotiation, authenticity conflicts, code-switching demands, and the burden of cultural representation. Across corporate, academic, healthcare, political, and entrepreneurial sectors, women leaders from minority or non-dominant cultural backgrounds navigate a distinctive form of "cultural-professional dissonance." This dissonance arises when workplace norms, leadership expectations, and organizational cultures reflect dominant paradigms that conflict with cultural values emphasizing collectivism, communal decision-making, modesty, or relational approaches to authority. The resulting strain is both psychological, manifesting as emotional exhaustion, identity fragmentation, and self-doubt, and structural, reflected in reduced access to networks, slower career advancement, and assignment to undervalued leadership roles. The double bind facing these women is particularly acute: they must demonstrate competence according to dominant leadership standards while avoiding penalties for deviating from both gendered expectations and cultural norms within their own communities.

Practical and Organizational Implications

The findings carry significant implications for organizational practice and leadership development. First, organizations must move beyond superficial diversity initiatives toward substantive cultural transformation that recognizes and values diverse leadership paradigms. This requires critically examining organizational norms, practices, and evaluation criteria that implicitly privilege particular

cultural expressions of leadership while marginalizing others. This is particularly important given evidence that governance mechanisms and oversight structures directly influence institutional performance evaluation and value attribution, underscoring the need to redesign such systems to support inclusive leadership models (Ogundipe, 2019). Contemporary governance research emphasizes that such norms are often embedded within integrated systems of risk management, compliance, and performance monitoring that shape institutional behavior and reinforce dominant standards unless deliberately redesigned for inclusivity (Kolade, 2019). Performance evaluation systems that reward individual assertiveness and self-promotion may systematically disadvantage leaders from cultural backgrounds that emphasize collective achievement and humility. Organizations should develop culturally responsive evaluation frameworks that recognize multiple forms of effective leadership, including relational, consensus-oriented, and community-focused approaches. Second, organizations should address "cultural taxation" by formally recognizing and rewarding the diversity-related labor that women from minority cultural backgrounds disproportionately perform, including mentoring, serving on diversity committees, and acting as cultural liaisons. Such work should be explicitly valued in promotion decisions and workload calculations rather than treated as invisible service. Additionally, organizations should distribute diversity-related responsibilities more equitably across all employees rather than concentrating them on minority group members.

Third, leadership development programs must incorporate cultural identity as a central component rather than treating it as a peripheral diversity topic. This includes providing opportunities for women leaders to explore how their cultural backgrounds shape their leadership philosophies, developing strategies for navigating cultural-professional tensions, and building skills in code-switching and identity integration. Importantly, such programs should validate diverse leadership approaches rather than implicitly positioning assimilation to dominant norms as the path to success. Mentorship and sponsorship programs should be designed to connect women leaders with mentors who understand intersectional challenges and can provide culturally informed guidance. Fourth, organizations should create affinity groups and networks that provide spaces for women from similar cultural backgrounds to connect, share experiences, and develop collective strategies for navigating organizational challenges. Research demonstrates that such networks reduce isolation, provide emotional support, and facilitate knowledge sharing about effective navigation strategies. However, organizations must ensure that participation in such groups does not further marginalize participants or reinforce

tokenism. Fifth, work-life balance policies must be designed with cultural sensitivity, recognizing that family structures, caregiving expectations, and definitions of work-life balance vary across cultures. Flexible work arrangements, parental leave policies, and support for eldercare should accommodate diverse family configurations and cultural practices. Organizations should also examine how informal norms around work hours, availability, and commitment may disadvantage women who have significant family or community obligations that are culturally mandated.

Policy and Leadership Development Implications

At the policy level, several interventions could address systemic barriers contributing to leadership strain among women from diverse cultural backgrounds. First, educational institutions and professional associations should develop leadership curricula that explicitly address intersectionality, cultural identity, and the navigation of cultural-professional tensions. Such curricula should be grounded in diverse cultural frameworks rather than exclusively Western leadership theories, exposing aspiring leaders to multiple paradigms of effective leadership. Second, regulatory bodies and professional standards organizations should examine how credentialing, licensing, and certification processes may inadvertently privilege particular cultural expressions of competence. For example, interview processes that emphasize individual self-promotion may disadvantage candidates from cultures that value modesty. Alternative assessment methods that evaluate competence through multiple modalities should be developed and validated. Third, government agencies and funding bodies should incentivize organizational diversity and inclusion through accountability mechanisms. This could include requiring organizations to report on the demographic composition of leadership, conducting pay equity analyses that account for intersectional identities, and tying funding or contracts to demonstrated progress in diversifying leadership. However, such policies must be carefully designed to avoid tokenism and ensure that diversity initiatives translate into genuine inclusion and equitable treatment. Fourth, professional development funding and leadership training opportunities should be strategically allocated to support women from underrepresented cultural backgrounds. This includes scholarships, fellowships, and sponsored participation in leadership development programs, executive education, and professional conferences. Such investments should be accompanied by efforts to ensure that these programs themselves are culturally responsive and inclusive. Fifth, policies addressing workplace discrimination and harassment must explicitly recognize intersectional forms of bias, including those based on cultural identity, religion, and ethnicity in combination with gender.

143

Complaint mechanisms, investigation procedures, and remedies should be designed to address the unique nature of intersectional discrimination, which may not fit neatly into single-category frameworks. Training for human resources professionals, managers, and diversity officers should emphasize intersectional awareness and culturally competent responses to discrimination complaints.

Directions for Future Research

Several important directions for future research emerge from this review. First, longitudinal studies are needed to examine how women's experiences of cultural-professional dissonance evolve over the course of their careers. Do the strategies women employ to navigate these tensions change as they advance to more senior positions? How do experiences of leadership strain in early career stages influence long-term career trajectories and leadership aspirations? Understanding these developmental trajectories would provide insights into the cumulative effects of leadership strain and identify critical intervention points. Second, comparative research examining women's leadership experiences across multiple cultural contexts would enhance understanding of how specific cultural values, norms, and institutional arrangements shape leadership strain. While some comparative studies exist, more systematic cross-cultural research is needed, particularly including non-Western contexts that remain underrepresented in leadership literature. Such research should employ culturally grounded methodologies and involve researchers from diverse cultural backgrounds to avoid imposing Western frameworks on non-Western contexts. Third, research is needed on the organizational and societal conditions that enable women to successfully integrate cultural identity into their leadership practice without experiencing excessive strain. What organizational cultures, leadership models, and support structures facilitate this integration? How do some women leaders successfully leverage their cultural backgrounds as sources of leadership strength? Identifying positive deviant cases and success factors would provide actionable insights for organizations and aspiring leaders. Fourth, intervention research is needed to evaluate the effectiveness of various strategies for reducing leadership strain and promoting inclusive leadership environments. This includes rigorous evaluation of leadership development programs, mentorship initiatives, organizational culture change efforts, and policy interventions. Such research should employ experimental or quasi-experimental designs where feasible and should assess both individual outcomes, such as well-being, career advancement, and leadership effectiveness, and organizational outcomes, such as diversity of leadership, organizational climate, and performance. Fifth, research should examine the experiences of women leaders at multiple

intersections beyond those most commonly studied. While considerable research has focused on the intersection of gender and race, particularly for Black women in the United States, less attention has been paid to other intersections, including religion, immigration status, disability, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic class. Understanding how these additional dimensions of identity interact with cultural identity and gender would provide a more comprehensive picture of leadership strain.

Finally, methodological innovation is needed to capture the complexity and nuance of intersectional experiences. This includes developing and validating quantitative measures of cultural identity, leadership strain, and intersectional discrimination that are culturally appropriate and psychometrically sound. It also includes employing innovative qualitative and mixed-methods approaches, such as photovoice, digital storytelling, and participatory action research, that center the voices and perspectives of women leaders themselves.

Concluding Analytical Reflection

The persistent challenges facing women leaders from diverse cultural backgrounds reflect not merely individual barriers to be overcome through resilience and adaptation, but rather systemic failures of organizations and societies to recognize, value, and accommodate diverse forms of leadership. The leadership strain documented in this review is not an inevitable consequence of cultural difference but rather a product of organizational cultures and societal structures that privilege particular expressions of leadership while marginalizing others. This marginalization exacts significant costs, not only for the women who experience it but also for organizations and societies that fail to benefit from the full range of leadership capabilities and perspectives that diverse women leaders offer. The concept of cultural identity as simultaneously a source of resilience and a source of strain captures a fundamental tension in contemporary diverse societies. On one hand, cultural identity provides women leaders with distinctive strengths: deep cultural intelligence, facility in navigating multiple cultural contexts, relational leadership skills, and connections to communities that can be mobilized for organizational and social benefit. These capabilities are increasingly valuable in globalized, multicultural work environments. On the other hand, in organizational contexts structured around dominant cultural norms, these same cultural identities become sources of marginalization, requiring exhausting identity negotiation and exposing women to bias and discrimination. Resolving this tension requires a fundamental reconceptualization of leadership itself, moving from narrow, culturally specific definitions toward more expansive, pluralistic understandings that recognize multiple valid forms of

effective leadership. This is not merely a matter of tolerance or accommodation but rather a recognition that in an increasingly diverse and interconnected world, leadership that draws on multiple cultural frameworks and perspectives is not just equitable but essential for organizational and societal effectiveness. Organizations that successfully leverage diverse cultural approaches to leadership gain competitive advantages through enhanced innovation, improved decision-making, and stronger connections to diverse stakeholder communities. The women leaders whose experiences are documented in this review are not deficient in leadership capability; rather, they are navigating organizational systems that have yet to evolve to fully recognize and leverage their capabilities.

Ultimately, addressing leadership strain among women from diverse cultural backgrounds requires transformation at multiple levels: individual women developing strategies to navigate cultural-professional tensions; organizations creating genuinely inclusive cultures that value diverse leadership paradigms; professional fields and sectors examining and revising norms and practices that perpetuate exclusion; and societies confronting the intersecting systems of gender, racial, and cultural inequality that constrain women's leadership. Only through such multilevel transformation can the promise of diverse, inclusive leadership be realized, leadership that draws on the full range of human cultural wisdom and experience to address the complex challenges facing organizations and societies in the twenty-first century.

References

Adams-Harmon, B. (2024). The intersectionality experiences of executive, female minorities ascending in STEM: A qualitative, transcendental, phenomenological study. Socioeconomic Challenges, 8(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.61093/sec.8(1).1-15.2024

Baumert, J., Becker, M., Jansen, M., & Köller, O. (2024). Cultural identity and the academic, social, and psychological adjustment of adolescents with immigration background. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 53(2), 294–315. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-023-01853-z

Cislaghi, B., & Heise, L. (2020). Gender norms and social norms: Differences, similarities and why they matter in prevention science. Sociology of Health & Illness, 42(2), 407–422. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.13008

Debebe, G. (2017). Navigating the double bind: Transformations to balance contextual responsiveness and authenticity in women's leadership development. Cogent Business & Management, 4(1), 1313543. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2017.1313543

Eagly, A. H., & Karau, S. J. (2002). Role congruity theory of prejudice toward female leaders. Psychological Review, 109(3), 573–598. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.109.3.573

Elmuti, D., Jia, H., & Davis, H. H. (2009). Challenges women face in leadership positions and organizational effectiveness: An investigation. Journal of Leadership Education, 8(2), 167–187. https://doi.org/10.12806/v8/i2/rf4

Galsanjigmed, E., & Sekiguchi, T. (2023). Challenges women experience in leadership careers: An integrative review. Merits, 3(2), 366–389. https://doi.org/10.3390/merits3020021

Gomes, A. (2024). From assimilation to alienation: Exploring the career trajectories of women of color leaders and the coping strategies used. Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal, 43(5), 678–697. https://doi.org/10.1108/edi-03-2024-0108

Górska, M., & Burlakova, I. (2025). The role of women's leadership in business: Challenges and prospects. Economics Finance and Management Review, 1(21), 116–129. https://doi.org/10.36690/2674-5208-2025-1-116-129

Hing, L. S. S., Sakr, N., Sorenson, J. B., Stamarski, C. S., Caniera, K., & Colaco, C. (2023). Gender inequities in the workplace: A holistic review of organizational processes and practices. Human Resource Management Review, 33(3), 100968. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2023.100968

Hoobler, J. M., Masterson, C. R., Nkomo, S. M., & Michel, E. J. (2018). The business case for women leaders: Meta-analysis, research critique, and path forward. Journal of Management, 44(6), 2473–2499. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316628643

Jerab, D. A., & Mabrouk, T. (2023). The role of leadership in changing organizational culture. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4574324

Kolade, Y. (2019). *Governance intelligence: A systems-based framework for risk integration in commercial transactions*. East African Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management, 2(12), 813–824. https://doi.org/10.36349/easjebm.2019.v02i12.018

King-Mullins, E., Maccou, E., & Miller, P. (2023). Intersectionality: Understanding the interdependent systems of discrimination and disadvantage. Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery, 36(5), 356–364. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-1764343

Kinnear, L. C., & Naidoo, A. (2024). Double shift, double stress: How female manufacturing managers cope with work–family conflict. SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 50, a2207. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v50i0.2207

Kuteesa, N. K. N., Dagunduro, N. O., Ediae, N. A., & Chikwe, N. C. F. (2024). Redefining leadership: The role of gender in shaping organizational culture. Comprehensive Research and Reviews in Multidisciplinary Studies, 2(1), 009–018. https://doi.org/10.57219/crrms.2024.2.1.0036

Martin, P., & Barnard, A. (2013). The experience of women in male-dominated occupations: A constructivist grounded theory inquiry. SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 39(2), a1099. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v39i2.1099

McDowell, J., Cunningham, G. B., & Singer, J. N. (2017). An intersectional analysis of the workplace experiences of African American female athletic directors. Sex Roles, 77(5–6), 393–408. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-016-0730-y

Pogrebna, G., Angelopoulos, S., Motsi-Omoijiade, I., Kharlamov, A., & Tkachenko, N. (2024). The impact of intersectional racial and gender biases on minority female leadership over two centuries. Scientific Reports, 14(1), 1215. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-50392-x

Ogundipe, O. M. (2019). *Corporate governance mechanisms and firm value: An empirical study of Nigerian deposit money banks*. The American Journal of Interdisciplinary Innovations and Research, 1(1), 1–10.

Rana, M. Q., Lee, A., Bezerra, J. F. R., & Villas Boas, G. H. (2024). Empowerment and sustainability: Investigating barriers to women's transition from higher education to empowerment in Brazil. Societies, 14(11), 234. https://doi.org/10.3390/soc14110234

Showunmi, V. (2019). Leadership and cultural identity. In V. Showunmi, T. Bosu, & D. Babatunde (Eds.), Gender in education in Nigeria (pp. 300–321). Verlag Barbara Budrich. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvqsf36t.18

Tariq, M., Syed, J., & Malik, A. (2017). Intersectionality at work: South Asian Muslim women's experiences of employment and leadership in the United Kingdom. Sex Roles, 77(7–8), 510–522. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-017-0741-3

Taylor, D. M., & Usborne, E. (2010). When I know who "we" are, I can be "me": The primary role of cultural identity clarity for psychological well-being. Transcultural Psychiatry, 47(1), 93–111. https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461510364569

Tipurić, D. (2022). Organisational culture, leadership language and integration of the collective. In D. Tipurić, M. Daraboš, & M. Njavro (Eds.), Leadership and management of knowledge-intensive organizations (pp. 185–215). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-03799-3_7

Tlaiss, H. A., & Kauser, S. (2019). Entrepreneurial leadership, patriarchy, gender, and identity in the Arab world: Lebanon in focus. Journal of Small Business Management, 57(2), 517–537. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12397